

QUANTUM ROOTS IN GEOMETRY: II

M. I. Wanas

Astronomy Department, Faculty of Science,
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.
e-mail wanas@frcu.eun.eg

Abstract

The present work is a review of a series of papers, published in the last ten years, comprising an attempt to find a suitable avenue from geometry to quantum. It shows clearly that, any non-symmetric geometry admits some built-in quantum features. These features disappear completely once the geometry becomes symmetric (torsion-less). It is shown that, torsion of space-time plays an important role in both geometry and physics. It interacts with the spin of the moving particle and with its charge. The first interaction, **Spin-Torsion Interaction**, has been used to overcome the discrepancy in the results of the COW-experiment. The second interaction, **Charge-Torsion Interaction**, is similar to the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

As a byproduct, a new version of Absolute Parallelism (AP) geometry, the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP) geometry, has been established and developed. This version can be used to construct field theories that admit some quantum features. Riemannian geometry and conventional AP-geometry are special cases of PAP-geometry.

1 Introduction

Space-time is not just a background in which physical interactions take place. It is something more important than that. It interacts with different properties of matter, while such properties affect its geometric structure. In the context of Einstein's theory of General Relativity (GR), it is widely agreed that gravity, the weakest known fundamental interaction, is nothing but a space-time property. This interaction cannot be switched off except in the case of free falling frames. This is a direct consequence of the weak equivalence principle (WEP). Due to the fact that gravity is the weakest among the , so far, known interactions a majority of authors ignore its effect in dealing with non-gravitational phenomena.

In view of what is mentioned in the above paragraph, that gravity is a space-time property, one cannot neglect space-time structure whether gravity is weak or strong. In some cases, the neglect of space-time structure leads to negative results or discrepancies between theory and experiment. As a consequence, authors found themselves obliged to add some peculiar assumptions in order to remove such discrepancies or negative results. Such assumptions might result from a successful theory which takes space-time structure into account. Several examples can be quoted here. The famous historical example, is the negative result of Michelson and Morley experiment and the peculiar assumption of contraction of lengths imposed, before special relativity, to interpret this negative result.

The weak equivalence principle is verified experimentally, to an accuracy of about 10^{-13} (cf.[1]). For this reason, many authors deal with this principle as a law of nature rather than a suggestion implying an empirical relation. In this context, let me quote Eddington's statement [2] "*...But the more important consideration is the universality, rather than the accuracy, of the experimental laws...*". The equations of motion, in the context of GR, have been chosen in such a way that WEP is not violated. It is well known that GR has been constructed in Riemannian geometry, applying the philosophy of geometrization of physics. In the frame work of this philosophy, Einstein has chosen the geodesic equation to represent trajectories of test particles in gravitational fields. This equation contains one geometric object characterizing the geometry used, that is Christoffel symbol. It can be shown that, since Christoffel symbol is a symmetric connection, it is always possible to find a coordinate system in which any symmetric connection vanishes at its origin. For this reason the WEP cannot be violated in the context of GR written in Riemannian geometry.

On one hand, it is well known that the equation of motion in quantum mechanics violates the WEP, as such equations contain terms reflecting the intrinsic properties of the moving particle. On the other hand, in the context of the geometrization philosophy motion is described using paths of an appropriate geometry. Now, assume that the quantum behavior, in the motion of elementary particle is a result of some interaction between the quantum properties of such particles and the background space-time. Then, to look for quantum properties in geometry, one has to examine path equations in geometries other than the Reimannian one. In this framework, it is obvious that:

1. Riemannian geometry is not appropriate for describing the motion of elementary particles, since its affine connection is symmetric.
2. An appropriate geometry should admit a non-symmetric connection, since the torsion part of connection is a tensor, that cannot be removed using any coordinate transformation. Consequently, path equations of such geometries, if used to describe trajectories of test particles, would violate the WEP. If the geometrization philosophy is a general philosophy that can be applied to the whole physics, not only to gravity, then one would expect the appearance of some quantum properties in the path equations of non-symmetric type of geometries.

The paper is organized in the following manner. In section 2 we give a brief account on the bases of absolute parallelism (AP)-geometry, and how to linearize their geometric objects. A brief summary of the results displayed in the first part of this research is given in section 3. In section 4 we give a review on recent results obtained in the last few years. The paper is discussed and concluded in section 5.

2 Bases of AP-geometry

In the present section, we are going to give a brief summary of the bases of AP-geometry. This geometry is non-symmetric in the sense that its affine connection is non-symmetric. As its path equations, if used as trajectories of test particles, violate the WEP, one would expect the appearance of some quantum properties in the motion of such particles , if described in the context of this geometry.

AP-space is an n-dimensional manifold whose structure is defined completely using n-linearly independent contravariant vector fields λ_i^μ , where the Latin indices ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$) denote the vector number while the Greek indices ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$) denote the coordinate component. The covariant components of such vector fields are defined such that:

$$\lambda_i^\mu \lambda_\nu = \delta_\nu^\mu, \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_i^\mu \lambda_\mu = \delta_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

summation convention is applied for both types of indices. As a direct consequence of the above mentioned linear independence, the following linear connection can be defined,

$$\Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^\mu \lambda_{\mu,\nu}. \quad (3)$$

Using the building blocks of AP-space, one can define the following symmetric tensors,

$$g^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^\mu \lambda_i^\nu, \quad (4)$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta. \quad (5)$$

These tensors can be used as metric tensors, of a Riemannian structure associated with the AP-space, when needed.

Now using the linear connection (3) we can define the torsion and contortion tensors of the AP-space, respectively, as

$$\Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\cdot\nu\mu}^\alpha \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_{\mu,\nu}. \quad (7)$$

where (;) is used to characterize covariant differentiation using Christoffel symbol $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ defined, using (4) and (5), in the usual manner. As a consequence of (3) and (7) we can write

$$\Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\} + \gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha. \quad (8)$$

Contracting (6) and (7) we get the basic vector

$$C_\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda_{\cdot\mu\alpha}^\alpha = \gamma_{\cdot\mu\alpha}^\alpha. \quad (9)$$

Using the above mentioned tensors, Mikhail [3] has defined a set of symmetric and antisymmetric second order tensors. These tensors are found to be very useful in several physical applications. The following table gives the definitions of these tensors.

Table I: Second Order World Tensors [3]

Skew-Symmetric Tensors	Symmetric Tensors
$\xi_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\mu\nu \dot{+}}^{\alpha}{}_{\dot{+}}$ $\zeta_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$	
$\eta_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\alpha} \Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$ $\chi_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu \dot{+}}^{\alpha}{}_{\dot{+}}$ $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu \dot{+}}^{\nu} - C_{\nu \dot{+}}^{\mu}$ $\kappa_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot\mu\epsilon}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\cdot\alpha\nu}^{\epsilon} - \gamma_{\cdot\nu\epsilon}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\cdot\alpha\mu}^{\epsilon}$	$\phi_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\alpha} \Delta_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$ $\psi_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta_{\cdot\mu\nu \dot{+}}^{\alpha}{}_{\dot{+}}$ $\theta_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu \dot{+}}^{\nu} + C_{\nu \dot{+}}^{\mu}$ $\varpi_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot\mu\epsilon}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\cdot\alpha\nu}^{\epsilon} + \gamma_{\cdot\nu\epsilon}^{\alpha} \gamma_{\cdot\alpha\mu}^{\epsilon}$
	$\omega_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot\mu\alpha}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{\cdot\nu\epsilon}^{\alpha}$ $\sigma_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot\alpha\mu}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{\cdot\epsilon\nu}^{\alpha}$ $\alpha_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu} C_{\nu}$ $R_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{\mu\nu} - \phi_{\mu\nu} - \theta_{\mu\nu}) + \omega_{\mu\nu}$

where $\Delta_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} + \gamma_{\cdot\nu\mu}^{\alpha}$ and $\Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu|\dot{+}}^{\alpha}{}_{\dot{+}} \equiv \Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu|\dot{+}}^{\alpha}{}_{\dot{+}|\dot{+}\sigma}$. It can be easily shown that there exist an identity between skew-tensors, which can be written in the form [3],

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} - \chi_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0.$$

We see from Table I that the torsion tensor plays an important role in the structure of AP-space in which all tensors in Table I vanish when the torsion tensor vanishes.

The AP-geometry admits, at least, four linear connections: The canonical connection (3), its dual $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} (= \Gamma_{\cdot\nu\mu}^{\alpha})$, its symmetric part $\Gamma_{\cdot(\mu\nu)}^{\alpha}$ and the Christoffel symbol defined using (4) and (5). Using these connections, one can define the following covariant derivative [4]

$$A_{\dot{+}\mu|\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \quad (10)$$

$$A_{\dot{-}\mu|\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \quad (11)$$

$$A_{\mu|\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\cdot(\mu\nu)}^{\alpha}, \quad (12)$$

$$A_{\mu;\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\alpha} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \mu\nu \end{matrix} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

The curvature tensors, corresponding to the above mentioned connections, are thoroughly studied in a recent paper [5].

It has been shown [6] that the above 4-connections give rise to a set of 3-path equations which can be written as:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^{2-}} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds^-} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds^-} = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^{2o}} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds^o} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds^o} = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{(\alpha\beta) \cdot}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds^o} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds^o}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^{2+}} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds^+} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds^+} = -\Lambda_{(\alpha\beta) \cdot}^\mu \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds^+} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds^+}. \quad (16)$$

where s^- , s^o , s^+ are the affine parameters characterizing the corresponding paths, respectively. These are the path equations admitted by the AP-geometry. It is clear that particles following (15) or (16), as physical trajectories, would violate the WEP. The R.H.S. of these equations are tensors that cannot be removed using any coordinate transformation. Further examination of these equations shows that their R.H.S. represents a physical interaction between the torsion of the background geometry and the quantum spin of the moving particle. This will be displayed in some details in the next section.

In the context of the philosophy of geometrization of physics, geometric objects are used to represent physical fields. In some applications, it is useful to expand such geometric objects using a certain parameter, to study some physical phenomena. If we assume that

$$\lambda_{i\mu} = \delta_{i\mu} + \epsilon h_{i\mu} \quad (17)$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta, $h_{i\mu}$ are functions of the coordinates and ϵ is a small parameter, then we can expand the above mentioned objects using (17). The results of this expansion are summarized in Table II [7]. In this table the symbol $\otimes$ means that such terms are absent, while $\checkmark$ indicates the presence of these terms.

Table II: Orders of Magnitude of Geometric Objects of Physical Importance

Object	zero order terms	1 st order terms	2 nd order terms	3 rd and higher order terms
λ_{μ}	✓	✓	⊗	⊗
$g_{\mu\nu}$	✓	✓	✓	⊗
λ^{μ}_{i}	✓	✓	✓	✓
$g^{\mu\nu}$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \mu\nu \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\Delta^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
C_{μ}	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\xi_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\chi_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\zeta_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\eta_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\kappa_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\theta_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\psi_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$\phi_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\varpi_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\omega_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\sigma_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$\alpha_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	⊗	✓	✓
$R_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓
$F_{\mu\nu}$	⊗	✓	✓	✓

where,

$$F_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_{\mu,\nu} - C_{\nu,\mu}.$$

3 A Brief Review of Part I

In the previous section, it is shown that AP-geometry admits three path equations while the affine connections are, at least four. It can be shown [8] that, using connections different from the above mentioned and defining the corresponding path equations we always get one or more of the equations belonging to the above set. We consider this property as an important feature of non-symmetric geometries. Another important feature appeared in the set of equations (14),(15)and (16), that is the coefficient of the torsion terms jumps, with step one-half from an equations to the next. We consider this last feature as reflecting some quantum properties of the paths admitted by any non-symmetric geometry. This has been tempting to generalize the AP-geometry in order to be used in describing some quantum physical phenomena. The new version is known as the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP)-geometry [9], which is characterized by the linear connection,

$$\nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \mu\nu \end{matrix} \right\} + b\gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \quad (18)$$

where b is a dimensionless parameter. The parameterized path equation, corresponding to the linear connection (18), can be written as

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\tau} = -b\Lambda_{(\alpha\beta)\cdot}^{\mu} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\tau}. \quad (19)$$

where $Z^{\mu}(= \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau})$ is the vector tangent to the path and τ is the parameter varying along the path. The R.H.S. of equation (19) is suggested to represent a type of interaction between the quantum spin of the moving particle and the torsion of the background space-time. For some physical and dimensional considerations, the parameter b is suggested to take the form [10]

$$b = \frac{n}{2}\alpha\gamma \quad (20)$$

where n is a natural number taking the values 0, 1, 2, 3, ... for particles with spins 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, ... respectively, α is the fine structure constant and γ is a dimensionless parameter to be fixed by experiment or observation.

Using equation (17) to expand the quantities in (19) and considering the results given in Table II, it has been shown that the linearized version of (19) gives rise to [10]

$$\Phi_s = \Phi_N(1 - \frac{n}{2}\alpha\gamma) \quad (21)$$

where Φ_N is the Newtonian gravitational potential and Φ_s is the gravitational potential felt by a spinning particle. Slow motion assumption is imposed on the tangent vector Z^{μ} .

Using the reduced gravitational potential Φ_s in the calculations of the trajectories of thermal neutrons (spin $\frac{1}{2}$ -particles), it is shown that the discrepancy in the COW-experiment can be removed [11]. Also, a satisfactory interpretation for the time delay of

massless spinning particles (neutrinos, photons and gravitons !) detected from SN1987A, has been given [12].

This is a brief summary of the first part of the work [13].

4 Review of Some Recent Developments

As mentioned in the section 3, there are some experimental and observational evidence for the existence of spin-torsion interaction. On the other hand, equation (19) gives the effect of this interaction on the trajectory of a spinning particle. It is of importance to connect this interaction to some observable quantities. For this reason, the effect of the spin-torsion interaction, on the value of Chandrasekhar limit, is obtained [14]. The formula giving this value can be written as

$$M_s = M_{ch}(1 + \frac{3}{4}\alpha\gamma) \quad (22),$$

where M_s and M_{ch} are the spin-dependent and spin independent Chandrasekhar masses respectively. Formula (22) is derived using the reduced gravitational potential (21), so it can be applied to white dwarfs (and can not be applied to strong fields e.g. the field of neutron stars). An observable quantity connected to (22) is the gravitational red-shift, which can be written as

$$Z_s = Z_{ch}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\gamma) \quad (23).$$

The results obtained are listed in the following Table III for the star 40 Eri B.

Table III: Red-Shift for 40 Eri B [14]

parameter	observed (Z)	Spin-independent (Z_{ch})	Spin-dependent (Z_s)
Red-shift Rms/s	23.9 ± 1.3	22.0 ± 1.4	22.1 ± 1.4

From Table III it is clear that the spin dependent value, calculated using (22), is more close to the observed value than the spin independent value. For further details see ref. [14] and the references listed therein.

It has been shown [15] that, although the quantum roots appear firstly in the path equations (through the affine connection) of PAP-geometry, these roots can diffuse and distribute among other geometrical entities, e.g. torsion, curvature..... Furthermore, the PAP-geometry admits a number of PAP-spaces, each of which is characterized by a certain connection, torsion and curvature. The Riemannian case and the conventional AP-case are just special cases of PAP-geometry corresponding to $b = 0, b = 1$, respectively. A particle having a certain quantum spin will feel certain connection, torsion and curvature. Consequently, its trajectory will belong to one of the PAP-spaces, depending on its spin. This shows how some quantum roots are built in non-symmetric geometries.

It is well known that, for each path equation in a certain space, there exists a corresponding path deviation equation. Such deviation equations are of certain importance in applications. The path deviation equations, corresponding to the set of path equations in the AP-geometry (14), (15) and (16), are derived in [16]. These path deviation equations can be represented by:

$$\frac{D^2\Psi^\alpha}{D\tau^2} + a(R_{\mu\beta.\rho}^{\alpha\cdot} + \tilde{Q}_{\mu\beta.\rho}^{\alpha\cdot})U^\mu\Psi^\beta U^\rho = b\Lambda_{\nu\rho}^{\cdot\alpha}\frac{D\Psi^\nu}{D\tau}U^\rho + c\gamma_{\cdot\rho\nu}^\alpha\frac{D\Psi^\nu}{D\tau}U^\rho, \quad (24)$$

where $R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha$ is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor and Ψ^α is the deviation vector, $U^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}$, τ is the parameter characterizing the path,

$$\tilde{Q}_{\cdot\mu\rho\sigma}^\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\rho\mu;\sigma}^\lambda - \gamma_{\sigma\mu;\rho}^\lambda + \gamma_{\rho\mu}^\epsilon\gamma_{\cdot\sigma\epsilon}^\lambda - \gamma_{\cdot\sigma\mu}^\epsilon\gamma_{\cdot\rho\epsilon}^\lambda \quad (25)$$

and a, b, c are parameters whose values are given in Table IV

Table IV: Values of the Parameters of Equation (24)

Connection used	a	b	c
$\Gamma_{\cdot\alpha\nu}^\mu$	0	1	0
$\Gamma_{\cdot(\alpha\nu)}^\mu$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\cdot\alpha\nu}^\mu$	1	0	2

From this table it is clear that the jumping values appear, not only in the torsion term (parameter b) but also in the curvature (parameter a) and in the contortion (parameter c) terms. Table IV shows also that while the parameters (a)&(b) jump with step of one-half (half integer), the jumping step of the parameter c is one (integer).

As stated above, the path equations (19) with quantum features, has been used to describe trajectories of spinning particles in gravitational fields. The term on the R.H.S. of this equation is suggested to represent a type of interaction between spin and torsion. Now, what about the motion of a charged particle in a background of gravity and electromagnetism? It has been shown [17], in the context of the generalized field theory (GFT) [18], a theory unifying gravity and electromagnetism, that the basic vector (9) plays the role of Maxwell's electromagnetic potential. Modifying the Lagrangian function used to obtain the set (15), (16) and (17), by introducing the vector (9), we get [19] a set of three new path equations, which can be written in the general form:

$$\frac{dZ^\mu}{d\tau} + a_1 \left\{ \begin{matrix} \mu \\ \alpha\beta \end{matrix} \right\} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = -a_2 \Lambda_{(\alpha\beta).\cdot}^\mu Z^\alpha Z^\beta - a_3 \hat{F}_{\cdot\nu}^\mu Z^\nu - a_4 g^{\mu\delta} \hat{C}_{\cdot\nu|\delta}^+ Z^\nu - a_5 \Lambda_{\alpha\beta.\cdot}^\mu \hat{C}^\alpha Z^\beta, \quad (26)$$

where Z^μ is the tangent of the path (26),

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{C}_{\mu,\nu} - \hat{C}_{\nu,\mu} = lF_{\mu\nu}, \\ \hat{C}_\mu &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} lC_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

l is a dimensional parameter and a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 , and a_5 are numerical parameters whose values are listed in Table V.

Table V: Values of the Parameters of Equation (26)

Connection used	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5
$\Gamma^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\nu}$	1	1	1	1	1
$\Gamma^\alpha_{\cdot(\mu\nu)}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\nu}$	1	0	1	1	0

From Table V it is clear that two parameters (a_2, a_5) have jumping values, while the other three parameters have the same (non- jumping) value. Using the terminology of the present work, one can consider (a_2, a_5) as quantized parameters. The term whose coefficient is a_3 represents the well known Lorentz force. Taking $l = 0$ (l includes the electric charge of the moving particle), one can switch off the last three terms of the equation (26). This will reduce the set (26) to the old set (14), (15) and (16).

A striking result is that the last term in (26) represents a direct influence of the electromagnetic potential on the trajectory of a charged particle. Note that, this term is quantized and gives rise to a phenomenon similar to the Aharonov-Bohm effect which cannot be obtained through any known classical treatment. Also, note that this term will not vanish even if the Lorentz force vanishes (as a result of vanishing of the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$). The last term of (26) can be considered to represent a type of interaction between the charge of the moving particle and the torsion of the background space-time. We call this interaction the "**Charge-Torsion Interaction**". This represents another type of interactions between an intrinsic property of the moving particle and the background space-time structure.

5 Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, it is shown that non-symmetric geometries are capable of interpreting some quantum behaviors of elementary particles in a background of gravity and electromagnetism. We are not doing quantum mechanics in its conventional form, but we have studied the effect of the quantum properties of an elementary particle on its trajectory, using geometry. This paper throws some light on the possibility of dealing with some quantum phenomena starting from pure geometric considerations.

Using an appropriate geometry, i.e. a geometry with simultaneously non-vanishing curvature and torsion, it is shown that some interactions, between the torsion and the intrinsic quantum properties of the moving particles, can be discovered and interpreted. The interactions discovered, so far, using this scheme are the **Spin-Torsion Interaction** and **Charge-Torsion Interaction**. The later interaction gives rise to a phenomenon similar, if not identical, to the Aharonov-Bohm effect, which can not be accounted for

using any known classical treatment. Equation (26) gives rise to both interactions in addition to the Lorentz force. It appears clearly from this equation, that Lorentz force is not quantized while the charge-torsion interaction (the Aharonov-Bohm effect) is quantized. This result is in agreement with experience in physics and with experiment.

The results obtained, so far, in this new direction concerning the relation between quantum and geometry, illuminate the importance of taking space-time structure in dealing with non-gradational phenomena. Furthermore, one can draw the following conclusion: "*Physical phenomena, considered so far, in the application of the present new trend, can be interpreted as interaction between space-time properties (especially torsion) and the intrinsic properties of elementary particles*". Such type of interaction may be used to interpret the accelerating expansion of our Universe and may give a possible source for "**Dark Energy**".

The author would like to thank the SOC for inviting him to give this talk.

References

- [1] C.M. Will (2006) Living Rev. Relativity **9**, 3.
- [2] A.S. Eddington (1923) *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [3] F.I. Mikhail (1952) Ph.D. Thesis, London University.
- [4] M.I. Wanas (2001) Stud. Cercet. Ştiinţ. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău **10**, 297; gr-qc/0209050
- [5] N.L. Youssef and A. Sid-Ahmed (2006) gr-qc/0604111.
- [6] M.I. Wanas, M. Melek and M.E. Kahil (1995) Astropys. Space Sci. **228**, 273; gr-qc/0207113.
- [7] M.I. Wanas (1975) Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo University.
- [8] M.I. Wanas and M.E. Kahil (1999) Gen. Rel. Grav., **31**, 1921; gr-qc/9912007.
- [9] M.I. Wanas (2000) Turk. J. Phys., **24**, 473; gr-qc/0010099.
- [10] M.I. Wanas (1998) Astrophys. Space Sci., **258**, 237; gr-qc/9904019.
- [11] M.I. Wanas, M. Melek, and M. E. Kahil (2000) Gravit. Cosmol., **6**, 319; gr-qc/9812085.
- [12] M.I. Wanas, M. Melek, and M.E. Kahil (2002) Proc. MG IX, part B, p.1100, Eds. V.G. Gurzadyan et al. (World Scientific Pub.); gr-qc/0306086.
- [13] M.I. Wanas (2002) Proc. XXV International Workshop on "*Fundamental Problems of High Energy Physics and Field Theory*", held in Protvino, Russia, 24-28 June 2002, ed. V.Petrov; gr-qc/0506081.
- [14] M.I. Wanas (2003) Gravit. Cosmol. **9**, 109.
- [15] M.I. Wanas (2003) Algebras, Groups and Geometries, **20**, 345a.
- [16] M.I. Wanas and M.E. Kahil (2005) Proc. MG X, part B, p.1440, Eds. M. Novello et al. (World Scientific Pub.); gr-qc/0605036.
- [17] F.I. Mikhail, and M.I. Wanas (1981) Int. J. Theoret. Phys. **20**, 671.
- [18] F.I. Mikhail and M.I. Wanas (1977), Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A 356**, 471.
- [19] M.I. Wanas and M.E. Kahil (2005) Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **2**, 1017; gr-qc/0408029.